

Existence and controllability of Hilfer fractional differential inclusions

Deepak Dhiman ^{1a}, Ashok Kumar ^{1b},
Ashish Kumar ² and Lakshmi Narayan Mishra ^{3,*}

¹*Department of Mathematics,
H. N. B. Garhwal University, Srinagar-246174, India.
email: ^a deepakdhiman09@gmail.com , ^b ashdsdma@gmail.com*

²*Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee-247667, India
email: akumar2@ma.iitr.ac.in*

³*Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences,
Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University, Vellore, Tamil Nadu 632 014,
India.
email: lakshminarayanmishra04@gmail.com*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the controllability for a class of Hilfer semilinear differential inclusions in Banach spaces by dropping the compactness of the evolution operator generated by the linear part and by assuming the regularity of the nonlinear part with respect to the weak topology. Also, we are not implementing any conditions on the multivalued nonlinearity expressed in terms of measures of noncompactness. An example is also given to illustrate the obtained theoretical results.

Subject Classification: 26A33; 34A08; 34G20; 34K35; 93B05; 47H10.

Keywords: Fractional derivatives and integrals; Fractional differential inclusions; Nonlinear differential equations in abstract spaces; Control problems for functional-differential equations; Controllability; Fixed point theorems.

1 Introduction

Fractional calculus is the generalization of the standard integer calculus to the arbitrary order which provides a great deal for the description of memory and hereditary properties of diversified materials and processes. In the past twenty years, the subject of the fractional calculus has attracted research attentions towards itself due to its applications in various branches of science like physics, viscoelasticity, fluid mechanics, heat conduction [1, 23, 24, 15]. One can refer to the monographs of Kilbas et al. [20], Miller and Ross [25] and Podlubny [36] for the fundamentals of fractional calculus.

Controllability is one of the important fundamental concepts in applied Mathematics. Exact controllability enables to steer the system from initial state to arbitrary final state, while approximate controllability means that system can be

¹ *Corresponding Author

steered to arbitrary small neighborhood of final state. On the finite dimensional systems, both the concepts are equivalent while in infinite dimensional systems, controllability of the system is sufficient for the approximate controllability of the system. For the basics and current research in the control theory, one can see the articles [6, 13, 21, 22, 37, 39, 42, 43].

In the literature, it has been seen that functional integral and fractional differential equations are closely related. For detailed work one can see the references [26, 28, 29, 30]. Fixed point theory is a great tool to study the existence and uniqueness of solutions of fractional differential equations. Theory and applications of fixed point theory can be found in [3, 8, 9, 27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38] and the references therein.

Hilfer [16] proposed a generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, named Hilfer fractional derivative, which interpolates Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and Caputo fractional derivative and later on presented the solution of such linear differential equations [17]. Subsequently, Furati et al. [12] proved existence and uniqueness for the nonlinear initial value problem in a weighted space of continuous function. Gu and Trujillo [14] discussed the existence of mild solution of evolution equation with Hilfer fractional derivative via measure of noncompactness. Ahmad et al. [2] studied the existence of mild solutions of Hilfer fractional stochastic integro-differential equations with nonlocal conditions via Sadovskii fixed point theorem. Approximate controllability of a class of semilinear Hilfer fractional differential control inclusions in Banach spaces is investigated in [7]. Yang et al. [44] established the approximate controllability of Hilfer fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions.

Benedetti et al. [4] explored the controllability for a class of semilinear differential inclusions by assuming the regularity of the nonlinear part with respect to the weak topology and by dropping the compactness of the evolution operator generated by the linear part. By the same technique, Zhou et al. [45] discussed the existence and controllability for Caputo fractional evolution inclusions in Banach spaces. Wang et al. [41] studied the controllability for fractional non-instantaneous nonlinear impulsive differential inclusions by establishing weakly convergent criteria in the piecewise continuous functions spaces and by dropping the regularity conditions on the multivalued non-linearity expressed in terms of measures of noncompactness and by dropping the invertibility of the linear controllability operator satisfies a condition expressed in terms of measures of non-compactness. Vijayakumar and Murugesu [40] investigated the controllability of second-order non-autonomous differential inclusions in Banach spaces without compactness. Motivated by the same, the main purpose in this paper is to study the the existence and controllability of the following Hilfer fractional differential inclusions by dropping the compactness condition of the operator generated by linear part of the differential inclusion system which is not discussed so far to the best of our knowledge.

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} D^{\beta, \gamma} x(t) &\in Ax(t) + F(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J := (0, b) \\ I_{0+}^{(1-\beta)(1-\gamma)} x(0) &= x_0. \end{aligned}$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a closed linear operator on a Banach space \mathbb{X} which is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators (i.e. C_0 semigroup) $\{Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in Banach space \mathbb{X} and the state $x(\cdot)$ takes values in a Banach space \mathbb{X} , $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$, $F : J \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a multivalued map.

We also investigate the controllability of the following Hilfer fractional differen-

tial inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\beta,\gamma}x(t) &\in Ax(t) + Bu(t) + F(t, x(t)), t \in (0, b] \\ I_{0+}^{(1-\beta)(1-\gamma)}x(0) &= x_0. \end{aligned}$$

where the control function takes values in $L^2(J, \mathbb{U})$, a Banach space of admissible control functions and \mathbb{U} is a Banach space and B is a bounded linear operator from \mathbb{U} into \mathbb{X}

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some basic definitions and some theorems as preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to the sufficient conditions for existence of mild solution of the system (1.1) and in section 4, controllability of Hilfer differential inclusions (1.2) is proved. Finally in section 5, an example is given to illustrate the theory.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t > 0,$$

provided the right hand side is point wise defined on \mathbb{R}^+ , where Γ is a gamma function.

Definition 2. The Caputo fractional derivative of order α , where $\alpha \in (n-1, n)$ of a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow E$ is defined by

$$D^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

Definition 3. The Riemann - Liouville fractional derivative of order α , where $\alpha \in (n-1, n)$, for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$${}^L D^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t > 0,$$

where the function f has absolutely continuous derivatives up to order $(n-1)$.

Definition 4. [16] The left-sided Hilfer fractional derivative of order $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and type $0 < \beta < 1$ of function $f(t)$ is defined as

$$D_{a+}^{\alpha,\beta} f(t) = I_{0+}^{\alpha(1-\beta)} D I_{0+}^{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)} f(t),$$

where $D := \frac{d}{dt}$.

Remark 1. (i) For $\alpha = 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$ and $a = 0$, the Hilfer fractional derivative corresponds to the classical Riemann - Liouville fractional derivative: $D_{0+}^{0,\beta} f(t) = D I_{0+}^{1-\beta} f(t) = {}^L D_{0+}^\beta f(t)$.

(ii) For $\alpha = 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$ and $a = 0$, the Hilfer fractional derivative corresponds to the classical Caputo fractional derivative: $D_{0+}^{0,\beta} f(t) = I_{0+}^{1-\beta} D f(t) = {}^c D_{0+}^\beta f(t)$.

Remark 2. *The Hilfer fractional derivative is considered as an interpolator between the Riemann - Liouville and Caputo derivative.*

Theorem 1. [11] (**Glicksberg-Ky Fan fixed point theorem**) *Let \mathbb{X} be a Hausdorff locally convex linear topological space and let $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{X}$ be a nonempty compact convex subset. Suppose $G : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow CC(\mathbb{Q})$ is a upper semi-continuous function. Then G has a fixed point; here $CC(\mathbb{Q})$ denoted the family of nonempty closed, convex subsets of \mathbb{Q} .*

Theorem 2. [19] *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$, where \mathbb{X} is a Banach space. Then Ω is relatively weakly compact iff Ω is relatively weakly sequentially compact.*

Corollary 1. [19] *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$, where \mathbb{X} is a Banach space. Then Ω is weakly compact iff Ω is weakly sequentially compact.*

Theorem 3. [10] (**Krein-Smulian Theorem**) *The convex hull of a weakly compact set in a Banach space \mathbb{E} is weakly compact.*

Theorem 4. [35] (**Pettis measurability Theorem**) *Let (\mathbb{E}, Σ) be a measure space, \mathbb{X} be a separable Banach space. Then a function $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is measurable if and only if for every $g \in \mathbb{X}$, the function $g\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable with respect to Σ and the Borel σ -algebra in \mathbb{R} .*

3 Existence of mild solution

In this section, we study the existence of mild solutions for the Hilfer fractional inclusion (1.1) under the following assumptions:

H₀ $Q(t)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $t > 0$, and $\{Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e., there exists $M > 1$ such that $\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} |Q(t)| < M$.

Assume that the multivalued nonlinearity $F : [0, b] \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ has nonempty convex and weakly compact values and

H₁ The multifunction $F(\cdot, x) : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ has a measurable selection for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, i.e. we can find a measurable function $f : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ such that $f(t) \in F(t, x)$ for a.e. $t \in J$;

H₂ The multimap $F(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is weakly sequentially closed for a.e. $t \in [0, b]$, i.e. it has a weakly sequentially closed graph;

H₃ There exists a function $\omega_n \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that for each $c \in \mathbb{X}$, $\|c\| \leq n$,

$$\|F(t, c)\| = \sup\{\|y\| : y \in F(t, c)\} \leq \omega_n(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, b].$$

Theorem 5. [14] *A function $x \in C(J, \mathbb{X})$ is said to be a mild solution of the inclusion system (1.1) if $x(0) = x_0$ and there exists $f(t) \in L^1(J, \mathbb{X})$ such that $f(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ and satisfies the integral equation*

$$(3.1) \quad x(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f(s)ds,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_\gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty \gamma \theta M_\gamma(\theta) T_0(t^\gamma \theta) d\theta$, $S_{\beta,\gamma}(t) = I_{0+}^{\beta(1-\gamma)} K_\gamma(t)$ and $K_\gamma(t) = t^{\gamma-1} \mathcal{P}_\gamma(t)$.

Theorem 6. [14] Under assumption (\mathbf{H}_0) , for any fixed $t > 0$, $\{S_{\beta,\gamma}(t)\}_{t>0}$ and $\{T_\gamma(t)\}_{t>0}$ are linear operators and for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$\|T_\gamma(t)x\| \leq \frac{Mt^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \|x\|,$$

$$\|S_{\beta,\gamma}(t)x\| \leq \frac{Mt^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \|x\|,$$

where

$$\nu = \beta + \gamma - \beta\gamma.$$

Given $q \in C([0, b]; \mathbb{X})$, let us denote

$$\Psi_q = \{f \in L^1([0, b], \mathbb{X}) : f(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, b]\},$$

then Ψ_q is non-empty by [4].

Define the solution multi-operator $\Xi : C([0, b], \mathbb{X}) \multimap C([0, b], \mathbb{X})$ as

$$\Xi(q) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in C([0, b], \mathbb{E}) \\ x(t) = S_{\beta,\gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f(s)ds, \end{array} \right. \quad ; \quad f \in \Psi_q.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider Q_n the closed ball of radius n in $C([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$ centered at the origin and denote by $\Xi_n := \Xi|_{Q_n} : Q_n \multimap C([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$ the restriction of the multioperator Ξ on the set Q_n . We describe some properties of Ξ_n .

Proposition 1. The multioperator Ξ_n has a weakly sequentially closed graph.

Proof. Let $\{q_m\} \subseteq Q_n$ and $x_m \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$ satisfying $x_m \in \Xi(q_m)$ for all m and $q_m \rightharpoonup q$, $x_m \rightharpoonup x$ in $C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$; we will prove that $x \in \Xi_n(q)$.

Since $q_m \in Q_n$ for all m and $q_m(t) \rightharpoonup q(t)$ for every $t \in [0, b]$, it follows that $\|q(t)\| \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|q_m(t)\| \leq n$ for all t (see [5]). The fact that $x_m \in G_n(q_m)$ means that there exists a sequence $\{f_m\}$, such that for every $t \in [0, b]$,

$$x_m(t) = S_{\beta,\gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f_m(s)ds.$$

We observe that, according to (\mathbf{H}_3) , $\|f_m(t)\| \leq \eta_m(t)$ for a.a. t and every m , i.e. $\{f_m\}$ is bounded and uniformly integrable and $\{f_m(t)\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{E} for a.a. $t \in [a, b]$. Hence, by the reflexivity of the space \mathbb{E} and by the DunfordPettis Theorem (see [35]), we have the existence of a subsequence, denoted as the sequence, and a function g such that $f_m \rightharpoonup g$ in $L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$.

$$x_m(t) \rightharpoonup S_{\beta,\gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)g(s)ds, t \in [0, b].$$

To finish up, we have just to demonstrate that $g(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ for a.e. $t \in [0, b]$. The verification is very much alike to the second piece of [4], Proposition 4.2, along these lines, we leave off it. The proof is now completed. \square

Proposition 2. *The multioperator Ξ_n is weakly compact.*

Proof. We first prove that $\Xi_n(Q_n)$ is weakly relatively sequentially compact. Let $\{q_m\} \subset Q_n$ and $\{x_m\} \subset C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$ satisfying $x_m \in \Xi_n(Q_m)$ for all m . By the definition of the multioperator Ξ_n , there exist a sequence $\{f_m\}$, $f_m \in S_{q_m}$, such that

$$x_m(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f_m(s)ds, \forall t \in [0, b].$$

Further, reasoning as in Proposition 1, we have that there exists a subsequence, denoted as the sequence, and a function g such that $f_m \rightharpoonup g$ in $L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$. Therefore

$$x_m(t) \rightharpoonup l(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f(s)ds, \forall t \in [0, b].$$

Furthermore, by the weak convergence of $\{f_m\}$, by (2.1), (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m(t)\| &\leq \|S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0\| + \left\| \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f(s)ds \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{Mt^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \|x_0\| + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \|f\|_{L^1[0, b]} \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $t \in [0, b]$. Reasoning again like in Proposition 1, it is then easy to prove that $x_m \rightharpoonup l$ in $C([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$. Thus $\Xi_n(Q_n)$ is weakly relatively sequentially compact, hence weakly relatively compact by Theorem 2. \square

Proposition 3. *The multioperator Ξ_n has convex and weakly compact values.*

Proof. Fix $p \in Q_n$, since F is convex valued, from the linearity of the integral and of the operators $S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)$ and $T_\gamma(t)$, it follows that the set $\Xi_n(p)$ is convex. The weak compactness of $\Xi_n(p)$ follows by Propositions 1 and 2. \square

Theorem 7. *Assume that (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) and (\mathbf{H}_2) holds and if there exists a sequence of functions $\{w_n\} \subset L^1([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\sup_{\|d\| \leq n} \|F(t, d)\| \leq \omega_n(t) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, b], n \in \mathbb{N}$$

with

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^b \omega_n(s)ds = 0,$$

Then (1.1) has at least one mild solution.

Proof. We show that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the operator Ξ_n maps the ball Q_n into itself. Assume to the contrary, that there exist sequences $\{z_n\}, \{x_n\}$ such that $z_n \in Q_n$, $x_n \in \Xi_n(z_n)$ and $x_n \notin Q_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L^1([0, a]; X)$, $f_n(s) \in F(s, z_n(s))$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a.e. $s \in [0, a]$ such that

$$x_n(t) = S_{\beta,\gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f(s)ds.$$

$$\|x_n\|_0 \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^b \omega_n(s)ds$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad C_1 = \frac{Mb^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \|x_0\|,$$

$$(3.4) \quad C_2 = \frac{Mb^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}.$$

But then

$$1 \leq \frac{C_1}{n} + \frac{C_2}{n} \int_0^b \omega_n(s)ds = 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which gives a contradiction to (4.3).

Now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq Q_n$. By Proposition 4.4 the set $V_n = \overline{\Xi_n(Q_n)}^w$ is weakly compact. Let $W_n = \overline{\text{co}}(V_n)$, where $\overline{\text{co}}$ denotes the closed convex hull of V_n . By Theorem 2.5, W_n is a weakly compact set. Moreover from the fact that $\Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq Q_n$ and that Q_n is a convex closed set we have that $W_n \subseteq Q_n$ and hence

$$\Xi_n(W_n) = \Xi_n(\overline{\text{co}}(\Xi_n(Q_n))) \subseteq \Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq \overline{\Xi_n(Q_n)}^w = V_n \subseteq W_n.$$

In view of Proposition 3.3, Ξ_n has a weakly sequentially closed graph. Thus from Theorem 1, the system (1.1) has a solution. The proof is now completed. \square

We are able to prove the existence result also under less restrictive growth assumptions, for instance sublinearity.

Remark 3. Assume that (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) and (\mathbf{H}_2) holds and suppose, there exist $\zeta \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and a nondecreasing function $\chi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\|F(t, d)\| \leq \zeta(t)\chi(\|d\|)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, b]$ and every $d \in \mathbb{E}$. Then (1.1) has a solution provided that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Theorem 8. Assume that (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) and (\mathbf{H}_2) holds and if there exist $\zeta \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|F(t, d)\| \leq \zeta(t)(1 + \|d\|) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, b], \forall d \in \mathbb{E}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{Mb^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \|\zeta\|_1 < 1,$$

then the existence problem (1.1) has a solution.

Proof. Reasoning as in Theorem 4.1 and assuming that there exist $\{z_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that $z_n \in Q_n, y_n \in \Xi(z_n)$ and $y_n \notin Q_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we would get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} n < \|x_n\|_0 &\leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^b \zeta(s)(1 + \|d\|) ds \\ &\leq C_1 + C_2(1 + n)\|\zeta\|_1, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, (3.6) gives

$$C_2\|\zeta\|_1 \geq 1$$

i.e.

$$\frac{Mb^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\|\zeta\|_1 \geq 1,$$

giving the contradiction with (3.5). \square

Theorem 9. (Superlinear growth condition) Suppose, there exist $\zeta \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and a nondecreasing function $\chi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\|F(t, d)\| \leq \zeta(t)\chi(\|d\|) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, b], \forall c \in \mathbb{E}$$

and $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{n_0}{C_1 + C_2\|\zeta\|_1\chi(n_0)} > 1,$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the positive constants defined in (3.3) and (3.4), and additionally, if (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) and (\mathbf{H}_2) holds, then the existence problem (1.1) has a solution.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that the operator Ξ maps the ball Q_{n_0} into itself. In fact, given any $z \in Q_{n_0}$ and $y \in \Xi(z)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_0 &\leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^b \|\zeta(s)\|\chi(n_0) ds \\ &\leq C_1 + C_2\chi(n_0)\|\zeta\|_1 < n_0. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion then follows by Theorem 1. \square

4 Controllability Results

In this section, we discuss the controllability for Hilfer differential inclusions (1.2) in a reflexive Banach space and assume that

H₄ The control function $u(\cdot)$ takes its value in $L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{U})$, a Banach space of admissible control functions and \mathbb{U} is a Banach space. $B : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a bounded linear operator with

$$(4.1) \quad \|B\| = M_1.$$

Theorem 10. [14] A function $x \in C(J, \mathbb{X})$ is said to be a mild solution of the inclusion system (1.2) if $x(0) = x_0$ and there exists $f(t) \in L^1(J, \mathbb{X})$ such that $f(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ and satisfies the integral equation

$$(4.2) \quad x(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)[Bu(s) + f(s)]ds$$

Assume that

H₅ The mapping $W : L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ given by

$$Wu = \int_0^b T_\gamma(t-s)Bu(s)ds$$

has a bounded inverse $W^{-1} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{U})/\ker(W)$ and there exists a real number $M_2 > 0$ and

$$\|W^{-1}\| \leq M_2.$$

Consider the following integral operators

$$S_1f(t) = \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)f(s)ds$$

$$S_2f(t) = \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)BW^{-1}\left(-\int_0^b T_\gamma(b-\eta)f(\eta)d\eta\right)(s)ds, t \in [0, b]$$

where $S_1, S_2 : L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow C([0, b]; \mathbb{X})$.

Define the solution multi-operator $\Xi : C([0, b], \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow C([0, b], \mathbb{X})$ as

$$\Xi(q) = \begin{cases} x \in C([0, b], \mathbb{E}) & ; \\ x(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + S_1f(t) + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)BW^{-1}\left(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0\right)(s)ds + S_2f(t), & f \in \Psi_q. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. The operators S_1 and S_2 are linear and continuous.

Proof. The linearity of both the operators S_1 and S_2 follows from the linearity of the integral operator and of the operators B, W^{-1} and $T_\gamma(t)$ for every $t \in [0, b]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_1f(t)\| &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1}|f(s)|ds \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1}\|f\|_{L^1[0, b]}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_2 f(t)\| &\leq \frac{MM_1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \int_0^t \left\| W^{-1} \left(- \int_0^b T_\gamma(b-\eta) f(\eta) d\eta \right) (s) \right\| ds \\
&\leq \frac{MM_1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \left\| W^{-1} \left(- \int_0^b T_\gamma(b-\eta) f(\eta) d\eta \right) (s) \right\|_{L^1([0,b],U)} \\
&\leq \frac{MM_1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \sqrt{b} \left\| W^{-1} \left(- \int_0^b T_\gamma(b-\eta) f(\eta) d\eta \right) (s) \right\|_{L^2([0,b],U)} \\
&\leq \frac{MM_1 M_2}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \sqrt{b} \left[\frac{M}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \int_0^b \|f(\eta)\| d\eta \right] \\
&= \frac{M^2 M_1 M_2}{(\Gamma(\gamma))^2} b^{2\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the closed ball $Q_n \subseteq C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$ of radius n centered at the origin and use a notation $\Xi_n := \Xi|_{Q_n} : Q_n \rightarrow C([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$ i.e. Ξ_n is a restriction of the multioperator Ξ on the set Q_n . Here are some properties of the operator Ξ_n .

Lemma 2. *The multioperator Ξ_n has a weakly sequentially closed graph.*

Proof. Let $\{q_m\} \subseteq Q_n$ and $x_m \subseteq C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$ satisfying $x_m \subseteq \Xi(q_m)$ for all m and $q_m \rightarrow q$, $x_m \rightarrow x$ in $C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$; we will prove that $x \in \Xi_n(q)$.

Since $q_m \in Q_n$ for all m and $q_m(t) \rightarrow q(t)$ for every $t \in [0, b]$, it follows that $\|q(t)\| \leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|q_m(t)\| \leq n$ for all t (see [5] Proposition III.5) i.e. there exists a sequence $\{f_m\}$, $f_m \in S_{q_m}$, such that for every $t \in [0, b]$,

$$x_m(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + S_1 f_m(t) + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s) B W^{-1} \left(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0 \right) (s) ds + S_2 f_m(t).$$

By assumption (H_3) , $\|f_m(t)\| \leq \eta_m(t)$ for a.a. t and for every m , i.e. $\{f_m\}$ is bounded and uniformly integrable and $\{f_m(t)\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{E} for a.a. $t \in [0, b]$. By using the reflexivity of \mathbb{E} and by the Dunford-Pettis Theorem (see [10], p. 294), there exist a subsequence, say f_m , and a function g such that $f_m \rightarrow g$ in $L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$. Therefore, $S_i f_m \rightarrow S_i g$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus

$$x_m(t) \rightarrow S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + S_1 g(t) + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s) B W^{-1} \left(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0 \right) (s) ds + S_2 g(t) = x_0(t), t \in [0, b]$$

implying, for the uniqueness of the weak limit in \mathbb{E} , that $x_0(t) = x(t)$ for all $t \in [0, b]$. To conclude, we have only to prove that $g(t) \in F(t, q(t))$ for a.a. $t \in [0, b]$. By Mazur's convexity Theorem (see e.g. [16]) we have a sequence

$$\tilde{f}_m = \sum_{i=0}^{k_m} \lambda_{mi} f_{m+i}, \lambda_{mi} \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^{k_m} \lambda_{mi} = 1$$

satisfying \tilde{f}_m, g in $L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$ and, up to subsequence, there is $N_0 \subseteq [0, b]$ having Lebesgue measure zero and $\tilde{f}_m(t) \rightarrow g(t)$ for all $t \in [a, b] \setminus N_0$ (see [11], Chapter IV, Theorem 38). Now, the verification is very much alike to the second piece of [4], Proposition 4.2, along these lines, we leave off it. The proof is now completed. \square

Lemma 3. *The multioperator Ξ_n is weakly compact.*

Proof. We first prove that $\Xi_n(Q_n)$ is weakly relatively sequentially compact. Let $\{q_m\} \subset Q_n$ and $\{x_m\} \subset C([a, b]; \mathbb{E})$ satisfying $x_m \in \Xi_n(Q_m)$ for all m . By the definition of the multioperator Ξ_n , there exist a sequence $\{f_m\}$, $f_m \in S_{q_m}$, such that

$$x_m(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + S_1 f_m(t) + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)BW^{-1}(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0)(s)ds + S_2 f_m(t), \forall t \in [0, b].$$

Further, reasoning as in Proposition (2), we have that there exists a subsequence, denoted as the sequence, and a function g such that $f_m \rightarrow g$ in $L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$. Therefore

$$x_m(t) \rightarrow l(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + S_1 g(t) + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)BW^{-1}(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0)(s)ds + S_2 g(t), \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Furthermore, by the weak convergence of $\{f_m\}$, by (4.1), (2), and the continuity of the operators S_1 and S_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m(t)\| &\leq \|S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0\| + \|S_1 g(t)\| + \left\| \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)BW^{-1}(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0)(s)ds \right\| + \|S_2 g(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{Mt^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \|x_0\| + \frac{M}{\Gamma(\gamma)} b^{\gamma-1} \|g\|_{L^1[0, b]} \\ &\quad + \frac{MM_1 M_2 b^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} (\|x_1\| + \frac{Mb^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \|x_0\|) + \frac{M^2 M_1 M_2}{(\Gamma(\gamma))^2} b^{2\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \|g\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $t \in [0, b]$. Reasoning again like in Proposition (2), it is then easy to prove that $x_m \rightarrow l$ in $C([0, b]; \mathbb{E})$. Thus $\Xi_n(Q_n)$ is weakly relatively sequentially compact, hence weakly relatively compact by Theorem 2. \square

Lemma 4. *The multioperator Ξ_n has convex and weakly compact values.*

Proof. Fix $p \in Q_n$, since F is convex valued, from the linearity of the integral and of the operators $S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)$ and $T_\gamma(t)$, it follows that the set $\Xi_n(p)$ is convex. The weak compactness of $\Xi_n(p)$ follows by Propositions (2) and (3). \square

Theorem 11. *Suppose (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) , (\mathbf{H}_2) , (\mathbf{H}_4) and (\mathbf{H}_5) holds and suppose there exists a sequence of functions $\{w_n\} \subset L^1([0, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\sup_{\|d\| \leq n} \|F(t, d)\| \leq \omega_n(t) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, b], n \in \mathbb{N}$$

with

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^b \omega_n(s) ds = 0,$$

Then the controllability problem (1.2) has at least one mild solution.

Proof. We show that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the operator $\Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq Q_n$. Let, if possible, there exist sequences $\{z_n\}, \{x_n\}$ such that $z_n \in Q_n, x_n \in \Xi_n(z_n)$ and $x_n \notin Q_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{X}), f_n(s) \in F(s, z_n(s)), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a.e. $s \in [0, b]$ such that

$$x_n(t) = S_{\beta, \gamma}(t)x_0 + S_1 f_n(t) + \int_0^t T_\gamma(t-s)BW^{-1}(x_1 - S_{\beta, \gamma}(b)x_0)(s)ds + S_2 f_n(t).$$

$$\|x_n\|_0 \leq \tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3 \int_0^b \omega_n(s) ds$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{C}_1 = \left(1 + \frac{MM_1 M_2 b^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}\right) \frac{Mb^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \|x_0\|,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{C}_2 = \frac{MM_1 M_2 b^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \|x_1\|,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{C}_3 = \left(\frac{Mb^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{M^2 M_1 M_2 b^{2\gamma-\frac{3}{2}}}{(\Gamma(\gamma))^2}\right)$$

But then

$$1 \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_1}{n} + \frac{\tilde{C}_2}{n} + \frac{\tilde{C}_3}{n} \int_0^b \omega_n(s) ds = 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which gives a contradiction to (4.3).

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq Q_n$. Then the set $V_n = \overline{\Xi_n(Q_n)}^w$ is weakly compact by Proposition (3). Let $W_n = \overline{c\bar{o}}(V_n)$, where $\overline{c\bar{o}}$ denotes the closed convex hull of V_n . Then W_n is a weakly compact set by Theorem (3). By using the fact that $\Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq Q_n$ and that Q_n is a closed convex set, we have $W_n \subseteq Q_n$ and hence

$$\Xi_n(W_n) = \Xi_n(\overline{c\bar{o}}(\Xi_n(Q_n))) \subseteq \Xi_n(Q_n) \subseteq \overline{\Xi_n(Q_n)}^w = V_n \subseteq W_n.$$

Hence Ξ_n has a weakly sequentially closed graph by Proposition (1). Hence the system (1.2) has a solution by Theorem (2). The proof is now completed. \square

Now, We will prove the controllability result under some less restrictive growth assumptions.

Remark 4. Suppose, there exist $\zeta \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and a monotonic increasing function $\chi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\|F(t, d)\| \leq \zeta(t)\chi(\|d\|)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, b]$ and every $d \in \mathbb{E}$. Then (2) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Theorem 12. Suppose, there exist $\zeta \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|F(t, d)\| \leq \zeta(t)(1 + \|d\|) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, b], \forall c \in \mathbb{E}$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \left(\frac{Mb^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{M^2M_1M_2}{(\Gamma(\gamma))^2} b^{2\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \|\zeta\|_1 < 1,$$

and if in addition, suppose (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) , (\mathbf{H}_2) , (\mathbf{H}_4) and (\mathbf{H}_5) holds, then controllability problem (1.2) has a solution.

Proof. By using the same fact as that in Theorem (4.1) and by assuming that there exist $\{z_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that $z_n \in Q_n, y_n \in \Xi(z_n)$ and $y_n \notin Q_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} n < \|x_n\|_0 &\leq \tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3 \int_0^b \zeta(s)(1 + \|d\|) ds \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3(1 + n)\|\zeta\|_1, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, (4.8) gives

$$\tilde{C}_3\|\zeta\|_1 \geq 1$$

i.e.

$$(4.9) \quad \left(\frac{Mb^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} + \frac{M^2M_1M_2}{(\Gamma(\gamma))^2} b^{2\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \|\zeta\|_1 \geq 1,$$

giving the contradiction with (4.9). \square

Theorem 13. (Superlinear growth condition) Suppose (\mathbf{H}_0) , (\mathbf{H}_1) , (\mathbf{H}_2) , (\mathbf{H}_4) and (\mathbf{H}_5) holds and suppose, there exist $\zeta \in L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R}^+)$ and a nondecreasing function $\chi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\|F(t, d)\| \leq \zeta(t)\chi(\|d\|) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, b], \forall c \in \mathbb{E}$$

and $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{n_0}{\tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3\|\zeta\|_1\chi(n_0)} > 1,$$

where \tilde{C}_1 , \tilde{C}_2 and \tilde{C}_3 are the positive constants defined in (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), then controllability problem (1.2) has a solution.

Proof. Sufficient to prove that the operator $\Xi(Q_{n_0}) \subseteq Q_{n_0}$.
For any $z \in Q_{n_0}$ and $y \in \Xi(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_0 &\leq \tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3 \int_0^b \|\zeta(s)\| \chi(n_0) ds \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3 \chi(n_0) \|\zeta\|_1 < n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion follows by Theorem 2. \square

Consider the following differential inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\beta, \frac{1}{3}} w(t, x) &= w_{xx}(t, x) + u(t, x) + f\left(t, \int_{\Omega} k(x, \xi) w(t, \xi) d\xi\right), 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ (4.11) \quad w(t, 1) &= 0, t \in J \\ I^{\frac{2}{3}(1-\beta)} w(0, x) &= w_0(x), x \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

where $D^{\beta, \frac{1}{3}}$ is the Hilfer fractional derivative of order $\frac{1}{3}$ and type β , $I^{\frac{2}{3}(1-\beta)}$ is the Riemann Liouville integral of order $\frac{2}{3}(1-\beta)$, $J = [0, 1]$, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{X} = L^2[0, 1]$. Define $w(t)(x) = w(t, x)$, $\mu(t) = u(t, \cdot)$ and let $F : J \times L^2[0, 1] \rightarrow 2^{L^2[0, 1]} - \{\phi\}$ is given by $F(t, w)(x) = f\left(t, \int_{\Omega} k(x, \xi) w(\xi) d\xi\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}v = v''$ with the domain $D(\mathcal{A}) = \{v \in X : v, v' \text{ are absolutely continuous, } v'' \in X, v(0) = v(1) = 0\}$

Assume the following hypotheses:

- (i) f satisfies Carathéodory property i.e. $f(\cdot, c) : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$; and $f(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, for a.a. $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (ii) There exist $\zeta : L^1([0, b]; \mathbb{R})$ and an increasing function $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that, for a.a. $t \in [0, b]$ and every $x \in [0, 1]$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $|f(t, c)| \leq \zeta(t)\chi(|c|)$;
- (iii) $k : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable with $k(x, \cdot) \in L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ and $\|k(x, \cdot)\| \leq 1$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) The control $\mu(t) = u(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $L^2([0, 1]; L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}))$;

Then (4.11) can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\beta, \frac{1}{3}} w(t) &\in \mathcal{A}w(t) + B\mu(t) + F\left(t, w(t)\right), 0 \leq y \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ (4.12) \quad w(0) &= w(1) = 0, \\ I^{\frac{2}{3}(1-\beta)} w(0) &= w_0. \end{aligned}$$

where B is identity operator. We now prove that Theorem 4.1 can be applied to controllability problem for (4.12), obtaining as the result a solution of the corresponding problem for (4.11). Notice first of all that Pettis measurability theorem (see [35]), the separability of $L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ and conditions (i) and (ii) imply that F is measurable (see [18]) and, being single-valued, it satisfies (\mathbf{H}_1) .

Fix now $t \in [0, 1]$ satisfying (ii) and take $z_n \rightarrow z$ in $L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$. Then, for every $x \in [0, 1]$, it holds

$$\int_0^t k(x, \xi) z_n(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \int_0^t k(x, \xi) z(\xi) d\xi.$$

By using condition (ii), we have

$$f(t, \int_0^t k(x, \xi) z_n(\xi) d\xi) \rightarrow f(t, \int_0^t k(x, \xi) z(\xi) d\xi)$$

for a.a. $z \in L^2[0, 1]$. Also Hölder's inequality and (iii) implies that

$$(4.13) \quad \left\| \int_0^1 k(\cdot, \xi) z(\xi) d\xi \right\| \leq \|k(\cdot, \xi)\|_2 \|z\|_2 \leq \|z\|_2.$$

Therefore, since the weak convergence of $\{z_n\}$ yields its boundedness, according to (iii), there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| f(t, \int_0^t k(x, \xi) z_n(\xi) d\xi) \right\| \leq \zeta(t) \chi(\|z_n\|_2) \leq \zeta(t) \chi(M)$$

and hence F satisfies **(H₂)** by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

By using (iii) and (4.13), we have, for a.a. $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $z \in L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$,

$$\|F(t, z)\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 \left[f(t, \int_0^t k(x, \xi) z(\xi) d\xi) \right]^2 dx \leq \zeta(t)^2 \chi(\|z_n\|_2)^2.$$

Also

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^t w_n(t) dt = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \|\zeta\|_1 = 0$$

by using theorem (4.9) and (iii). **(H₄)** holds trivially as $B = I$ and condition (iv) yields **(H₅)** and hence we obtain a solution $z \in C([0, 1]; L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}))$ of the controllability problem for (4.11).

References

- [1] Om P. Agrawal. Solution for a fractional diffusion-wave equation defined in a bounded domain. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 29(1-4):145-155, 2002.
- [2] HM Ahmed and MM El-Borai. Hilfer fractional stochastic integro-differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 331:182-189, 2018.
- [3] A Auwalu, E Hincal and LN Mishra. On Some Fixed Point Theorems for Expansive Mappings in Dislocated Cone Metric Spaces with Banach Algebras. *Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 42, 2019.

- [4] I Benedetti, V Obukhovskii and V Taddei. Controllability for systems governed by semilinear evolution inclusions without compactness. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA*, 21(6):795-812, 2014.
- [5] H Brezis, PG Ciarlet and JL Lions. Analyse fonctionnelle: théorie et applications, volume 91. Dunod Paris, 1999.
- [6] JP Dauer and NI Mahmudov. Approximate controllability of semilinear functional equations in Hilbert spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 273(2):310-327, 2002.
- [7] A Debbouche and V Antonov. Approximate controllability of semilinear Hilfer fractional differential inclusions with impulsive control inclusion conditions in Banach spaces. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 102:140-148, 2017.
- [8] Deepmala. A Study on Fixed Point Theorems for Nonlinear Contractions and its Applications. *Ph.D. Thesis*, 2014.
- [9] Deepmala and HK Pathak. A study on some problems on existence of solutions for nonlinear functional-integral equations. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 33(5):1305-1313, 2013.
- [10] N Dunford and JT Schwartz. Linear Operators. Part 1:General Theory. *New York Interscience*, 1957.
- [11] Ky Fan. Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 38(2):121,1952.
- [12] KM Furati, MD Kassim et al. Existence and uniqueness for a problem involving Hilfer fractional derivative. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 64(6):1616-1626, 2012.
- [13] X Fu and K Mei. Approximate controllability of semilinear partial functional differential systems. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 15(3):425-443, 2009.
- [14] H Gu and JJ Trujillo. Existence of mild solution for evolution equation with Hilfer fractional derivative. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 257:344-354, 2015.
- [15] R Herrmann. Fractional Calculus: An introduction to physicists. *World Scientific*, 2014.
- [16] R Hilfer. Applications of fractional calculus in physics. *World Scientific publ. Co.*, Singapore, 2000.
- [17] R Hilfer, Y Luchko and Z Tomovski. Operational method for the solution of fractional differential equations with generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 12(3):299-318, 2009.
- [18] MI Kamenskii, VV Obukhovskii and P Zecca. Condensing multivalued maps and semilinear differential inclusions in Banach spaces, volume 7. *Walter de Gruyter*, 2011.

-
- [19] LV Kantorovich and GP Akilov. *Funktsional'nyi analiz (Functional Analysis)*. Moscow: Nauka, 1977.
- [20] AAA Kilbas, HM Srivastava and JJ Trijillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204. *Elsevier Science Limited*, 2006.
- [21] J Klamka. *Controllability of linear dynamical systems*, 1963.
- [22] S Kumar and N Sukavanam. Approximate controllability of fractional order semilinear systems with bounded delay. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 252(11):6163-6174, 2012.
- [23] F Mainardi. *Fractional calculus and waves in linear viscoelasticity: an introduction to mathematical models*. *World Scientific*, 2010.
- [24] F Mainardi. Fractional relaxation-oscillation and fractional diffusion-wave phenomena. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 7(9):1461-1477, 1996.
- [25] KS Miller and B Ross. *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*. *Wiley-Interscience*, 1993.
- [26] LN Mishra, RP Agarwal and M Sen. Solvability and asymptotic behavior for some nonlinear quadratic integral equation involving Erdélyi-Kober fractional integrals on the unbounded interval. *Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications*, 2(3):153-168, 2016.
- [27] LN Mishra, K Jyoti, Vandana and A Rani. Fixed point theorems with digital contractions image processing. *Nonlinear Sci. Lett. A*, 9(2):104-115, 2018.
- [28] LN Mishra and M Sen. On the concept of existence and local attractivity of solutions for some quadratic Volterra integral equation of fractional order. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 285:174-183, 2016.
- [29] LN Mishra, M Sen and RN Mohapatra. On existence theorems for some generalized nonlinear functional-integral equations with applications. *Filomat*, 31(7):2081-2091, 2017.
- [30] LN Mishra, HM Srivastava and M Sen. Existence results for some nonlinear functional-integral equations in Banach algebra with applications. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, 11(1):1-10, 2016.
- [31] LN Mishra, SK Tiwari and VN Mishra. Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly S-contractive mappings in partial metric spaces. *Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation*, 5(4):600-612, 2015.
- [32] LN Mishra, SK Tiwari, VN Mishra and IA Khan. Unique fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in partial metric spaces. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2015.
- [33] LN Mishra, V Dewangan, VN Mishra, S Karateke, Best proximity points of admissible almost generalized weakly contractive mappings with rational expressions on b-metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.*, Vol. 22, Issue 2, (2021), pp. 97-109. doi: 10.22436/jmcs.022.02.01.

- [34] LN Mishra, VN Mishra, P Gautam, K Negi, Fixed point Theorems for Cyclic-Ćirić-Reich-Rus contraction mapping in Quasi-Partial b-metric spaces, *SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NOVI PAZAR SER. A: APPL. MATH. INFORM. AND MECH.* vol. 12, 1 (2020), 47-56.
- [35] BJ Pettis. On integration in vector spaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 44(2):277-304, 1938.
- [36] I Podlubny. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, method of their solution and some of their applications. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 1999.
- [37] R Sakthivel, Y Ren and NI Mahmudov. On the approximate controllability of semilinear fractional differential systems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 62(3):1451-1459, 2011.
- [38] AG Sanatee, M Iranmanesh, LN Mishra, VN Mishra, Generalized 2-proximal C-contraction mappings in complete ordered 2-metric space and their best proximity points, *SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS OF THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NOVI PAZAR SER. A: APPL. MATH. INFORM. AND MECH.* vol. 12, 1 (2020), 1-11.
- [39] R Triggiani. A note on the lack of exact controllability for mild solutions in Banach spaces. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 15(3):407-411, 1977.
- [40] V Vijaykumar and R Murugesu. Controllability for a class of second-order evolution differential inclusions without compactness. *Applicable Analysis*, 98(7):1367-1385, 2019.
- [41] J Wang, AG Ibrahim, M Fečkan and Y Zhou. Controllability of fractional non-instantaneous impulsive differential inclusions without compactness. *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, 36(02):443-460, 2017.
- [42] J Wang and Y Zhou. Existence and controllability results for fractional semilinear differential inclusions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 12(6):3642-3653, 2011.
- [43] J Wang and Y Zhou. Complete controllability of fractional evolution systems. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 17(11):4346-4355, 2012.
- [44] M Yang and QR Wang. Approximate controllability of Hilfer fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal conditions. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 40(4):1126-1138, 2017.
- [45] Y Zhou, V Vijayakumar and R Murugesu. Controllability for fractional evolution inclusions without compactness. *Evolution Equations & Control Theory*, 4(4), 2015.