

Composite entire functions on the basis of comparative growth analysis of $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg type

Dharmendra Kumar Gautam ¹ and Anupma Rastogi ²

¹ *Department of Mathematics and Astronomy
University of Lucknow, Lucknow-226007
mathsdkg@gmail.com*

² *Department of Mathematics and Astronomy
University of Lucknow, Lucknow-226007
anupmarastogi13121993@gmail.com*

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to discuss about the growth properties and some results based on $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg type of composite entire functions.

Subject Classification:[2010]Primary 30D35, 30D20

Keywords: Composite entire functions, $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg type.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{R}^n respectively denote the complex and real n -space. Also let us indicate the point (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) , (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) of \mathbb{C}^n by their corresponding unaffixed symbols z, m respectively. The modulus of z , denoted by $|z|$, is defined as $|z| = (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If the coordinates of the vector m are non-negative integers, then z^m will denote $z_1^{m_1} \dots z_n^{m_n}$ and $\|m\| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$.

If $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (\mathbb{C}^n denote the n -dimensional complex space) be an arbitrary bounded complex n -circular domain with center at the origin of coordinates then for any entire function $f(z)$ of n -complex variables and $R > 0$, $M_{f,D}(R)$ defined by $M_{f,D} = \sup_{z \in D_R} |f(z)|$, where a point $z \in D_R$ if and only if $\frac{z}{R} \in D$. If $f(z)$ is non-constant, then $M_{f,D}(R)$ is strictly increasing and its inverse $M_{f,D}^{-1} : (|f(0)|, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ exists such that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} M_{f,D}^{-1}(R) = \infty$

Definition 1.1. ([1],[3]) Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of n -variables with respect to any bounded complete n -circular domain D with center at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . Then Gol'dberg order of an entire function $f(z)$ for any bounded complete n -circular domain D is defined as

$$\rho_{f,D} = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f,D}(R)}{\log R}.$$

The Gol'dberg lower order of an entire function $f(z)$ for any bounded complete n -circular domain D is defined as

$$\lambda_{f,D} = \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f,D}(R)}{\log R}.$$

where $\log^{[k]} R = \log(\log^{[k-1]} R)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; $\log^{[0]} R = R$.

For any bounded complete n -circular domain D , an entire function of n -complex variables for which Goldberg order and Goldberg lower order are the same is said to be of regular growth. Functions which are not of regular growth are said to be of irregular growth. To compare the relative growth of entire functions of n -complex variables having same non zero finite Goldberg order, we introduce the definition of Gol'dberg type and Gol'dberg lower type in following manner:

Definition 1.2. ([5],[6]) Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of n -variables with respect to any bounded complete n -circular domain D with center at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . Then Gol'dberg type of an entire function $f(z)$ for any bounded complete n -circular domain D is defined as

$$\Delta_{f,D} = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f,D}(R)}{(R)^{\rho_{f,D}}}.$$

The Gol'dberg lower type of an entire function $f(z)$ for any bounded complete n -circular domain D is defined as

$$\bar{\Delta}_{f,D} = \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f,D}(R)}{(R)^{\rho_{f,D}}}.$$

Definition 1.3. ([3],[6]) Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of n -variables and D be a bounded complete n -circular domain with center at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg type of an entire function $f(z)$ for any bounded complete n -circular domain D is defined as

$$\Delta_{f,D}(p, q) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{f,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{f,D}(p,q)}}, \quad \text{where } p \geq q \geq 1.$$

The $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg lower type of an entire function $f(z)$ for any bounded complete n -circular domain D is defined as

$$\bar{\Delta}_{f,D}(p, q) = \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{f,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{f,D}(p,q)}}, \quad \text{where } p \geq q \geq 1.$$

We introduce the definition of $(p, q)^{th}$ - relative Goldberg type and $(p, q)^{th}$ -relative Goldberg lower type in order to compare the relative growth of two entire functions of n -complex variables having same non zero finite $(p, q)^{th}$ - relative Goldberg order with respect to another entire function of n -complex variables.

Definition 1.4. [9] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire function of n -complex variables with index pair (m, q) and (m, p) respectively, where p, q and m are positive integers such that $m \geq q \geq 1$ and $m \geq p \geq 1$ and D be any bounded complete n -circular domain with center at origin in \mathbb{C}^n . Then the $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg type of $f(z)$ with respect to $g(z)$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{g,D}^{(p,q)}(f) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(f)}}$$

Similarly, the $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg lower type of an entire function $f(z)$ with respect to another entire function $g(z)$ is defined as

$$\bar{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(p,q)}(f) = \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(f)}}, \quad \text{where } 0 < \rho_g^{(p,q)}(f) < \infty.$$

During the past decades, several authors (see [2],[3],[7],[8],[9]) made close investigations on the properties of relative type of entire functions of several complex variable using different growth indicator such as Goldberg type, $(p, q)^{th}$ Goldberg type. In this paper we wish to study some relative growth properties of entire functions of n -complex variables using Goldberg type, $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Goldberg type.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be an entire function of n -complex variables and D be bounded complete n -circular domain with center at origin in \mathbb{C}^n .*

Also let $0 < \overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,q)}(fog) < \Delta_D^{(m,q)}(fog) < \infty$ and $0 < \overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,p)}(g) < \Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g) < \infty$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,q)}(fog)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{g(m,p)}}} \leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{g(m,p)}^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,q)}(fog)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{g(m,p)}}}, \left[\frac{\Delta_D^{(m,q)}(fog)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{g(m,p)}}} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,q)}(fog)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{g(m,p)}}}, \left[\frac{\Delta_D^{(m,q)}(fog)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{g(m,p)}}} \right\} \leq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{g(m,p)}^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \\ & \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_D^{(m,q)}(fog)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_{g(m,p)}}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition of $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg type and $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg lower type of entire composite functions fog for $\epsilon > 0$ and value of R tending to infinity.

$$(2.1) \quad M_{fog,D}(R) \leq \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad M_{fog,D}(R) \geq \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]$$

Again for all sufficiently large value of R

$$(2.3) \quad M_{fog,D}(R) \geq \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad M_{fog,D}(R) \leq \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]$$

Now, from the definition of $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg type and $(p, q)^{th}$ Gol'dberg lower type of entire function $g(z)$ for $\epsilon > 0$ and for all sufficiently large value of R

$$M_{g,D}(R) \leq \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[p-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(m,p)}} \right]$$

$$R \leq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[p-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(m,p)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$(2.5) \quad M_{g,D}^{-1}(R) \geq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} R}{\left(\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad M_{g,D}^{-1}(R) \leq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} R}{\left(\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Also for a sequence of value of R , tending to infinity

$$(2.7) \quad M_{g,D}^{-1}(R) \leq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} R}{\left(\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad M_{g,D}^{-1}(R) \geq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} R}{\left(\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Now from (2.3) and (2.5) it follows for $R \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \geq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$\geq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} \exp^{[m-1]} \left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}}{\left(\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \geq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\frac{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \geq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}$$

As $\epsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary we obtained that

$$(2.9) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \geq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Again from (2.4) and (2.7) it follows for $R \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$\leq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} \exp^{[m-1]} \left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}}{\left(\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\frac{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}$$

As $\epsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary we obtained that

$$(2.10) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \leq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Similarly from(2.1) and (2.6) it follows for a sequence of values of R tending to infinity that

$$M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \\ \log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) &\leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\frac{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \\ \log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) &\leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)} \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon(> 0)$, we obtained that

$$(2.11) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Now combining (2.9),(2.10) and (2.11) we get that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \leq \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}, \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

from (2.3) and (2.8) it follows for $R \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \geq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \\ \log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) &\geq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} [\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\frac{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \geq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}$$

As $\epsilon(> 0)$, we obtained that

$$(2.13) \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{\left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \geq \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

From (2.1) and (2.7) it follows for $R \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$\leq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\frac{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) + \epsilon}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) - \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}$$

As $\epsilon(> 0)$, we obtained that

$$(2.14) \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R)}{\left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(fog)}} \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Similarly from (2.2) and (2.5) it follows for $R \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{fog,D}(R) \geq M_{g,D}^{-1} \left[\exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right] \right]$$

i.e.

$$\geq \exp^{[p-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[m-1]} \exp^{[m-1]} \left[\left(\Delta_{fog,D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon \right) \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_{fog}^{(m,q)}} \right]}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f \circ g, D}(R) &\geq \left[\frac{\Delta_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^{(m,q)}}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \\ \log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f \circ g, D}(R) &\leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R) - \epsilon}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R) + \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \left[\log^{[q-1]} R \right]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(f \circ g)} \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon (> 0)$, we obtained that

$$(2.15) \quad \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f \circ g, D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(f \circ g)}} \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Now combining (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) we obtained that

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}, \left[\frac{\Delta_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \right\} &\leq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M_{g,D}^{-1} M_{f \circ g, D}(R)}{[\log^{[q-1]} R]^{\rho_g^{(p,q)}(f \circ g)}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence theorem follows from (2.12) and (2.16). \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be any two entire functions of n complex variables with index pair (m, q) and (m, p) , respectively, where $m \geq q \geq 1$ and $m \geq p \geq 1$ and D be bounded complete n -circular domain with center at origin in \mathbb{C}^n .*

Then
$$\Delta_{g,D}^{(p,q)}(f \circ g) \leq \left[\frac{\Delta_{f \circ g, D}^{(m,q)}(R)}{\Delta_{g,D}^{(m,p)}(R)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}$$

Proof. The conclusion of the above theorem can be carried out from (2.1) and (2.7) after applying the same technique of Theorem 2.1 and therefore its proof is omitted. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be any two entire functions of n complex variables with index pair (m, q) and (m, p) , respectively, where $m \geq q \geq 1$ and $m \geq p \geq 1$ and D be bounded complete n -circular domain with center at origin in \mathbb{C}^n .*

Then
$$\overline{\Delta}_{g,D}^{(p,q)}(f \circ g) \leq \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,q)}(f \circ g)}{\overline{\Delta}_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}}, \left[\frac{\Delta_D^{(m,q)}(f \circ g)}{\Delta_D^{(m,p)}(g)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho_g^{(m,p)}}} \right\}$$

Proof. The conclusion of the above theorem can be carried out from (2.1), (2.6), (2.11) and (2.4), (2.7), (2.10) after applying the same technique of Theorem 2.1 and therefore its proof is omitted. \square

Acknowledgement: The author is thankful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] A.A.Gol'dberg, Elementary remarks on the formulas defining order and type of function of several complex variables, *S.S.R. Doki*, Vol. 29 (1959) pp. 145-151.
- [2] A. P. Singh: On the maximum terms of composition of entire functions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India* Vol. 59 (1989) pp. 105-115.
- [3] B.A. Fuks: Introduction to the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables, *American Mathematical Society*, R.I., (1963).
- [4] B.C. Mondal and C. Roy, Relative Gol'dberg order of an entire function of several variables, *Bull. Cal. Math. Society*, Vol-102, No-4(2010), pp. 371-380.
- [5] G.Valiron, Lecture on the general theory of integral functions, *Chelsea publishing company*, (1949).
- [6] O. P. Juneja, G. P. Kapoor and S. K. Bajpai, On the (p,q) -order and lower (p,q) -order of an entire function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 282 (1976), 53-67.
- [7] S. Halvarsson, Growth properties of entire functions depending on a parameter, *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, 14(1) (1996), pp. 71-96.
- [8] S.K. Datta and A.R. Maji, Study of growth properties on the basis of generalized Gol'dberg order of composite entire functions of several complex variables, *International Journal of Math.Sci. and Engg.Appls*, Vol 5 No.V(2011), pp. 297-311.
- [9] Tanmay Biswas, Some Results relating to $(p, q)^{th}$ relative Gol'dberg order and $(p, q)^{th}$ -relative Gol'dberg type of entire functions of several variables, *J. Fract. Calc. Appl.*, 10 (2) (2019), pp. 249-272.