

Existence of fixed point for rational type contraction in \mathcal{F} -metric space

Joginder Paul ^{1*} and U. C. Gairola ²

¹ Department of Mathematics,
H. N. B. Garhwal University,
BGR Campus, Pauri Garhwal-246001, Uttarakhand, India
Joginder931995@gmail.com

² Department of Mathematics,
H. N. B. Garhwal University,
BGR Campus, Pauri Garhwal-246001, Uttarakhand, India
ucgairola@rediffmail.com

Abstract

In this manuscript, we prove some fixed point result for rational type contraction in the context of \mathcal{F} -metric spaces. Our result generalize and extend some already proved result in the literature. We also give an example to support our result.

Subject Classification:[2020]Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

Keywords: Fixed point, Rational type contraction, \mathcal{F} -metric space.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Banach contraction principle is one of the most pivotal result in the study of nonlinear analysis. Due to its applicability this principle has been generalized in different directions by various researchers. There are many authors in the literature who have extended and generalized it. In recent years, various extensions of metric space have been introduced (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [13], [14], [15], [17], [18], [19]). Very recently, In 2018 Jleli and Samet [12] introduced the notion of \mathcal{F} -metric space in the following way.

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(\mathcal{F}_1) f is non-decreasing, i.e., $0 < s < t \implies f(s) \leq f(t)$.

(\mathcal{F}_2) for every sequence $\{t_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t_n) = -\infty$

Definition 1.1. [12] Suppose that U is a nonempty set, and $\rho : U \times U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a given mapping. Suppose that there exists $(f, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \times [0, \infty)$ such that

(ρ_1) $(\mu, \nu) \in U \times U$, $\rho(\mu, \nu) = 0 \iff \mu = \nu$

(ρ_2) $\rho(\mu, \nu) = \rho(\nu, \mu)$, for all $(\mu, \nu) \in U \times U$

(ρ_3) for every $(\mu, \nu) \in U \times U$, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and for every $(u_i)_{i=1}^N \subset U$ with $(u_1, u_N) = (\mu, \nu)$, we have

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) > 0 \implies f(\rho(\mu, \nu)) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \rho(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\right) + \alpha.$$

Then ρ is said to be an \mathcal{F} -metric on U , and the pair (U, ρ) is said to be an \mathcal{F} -metric space.

Jleli and Samet [12] showed that any metric space is an \mathcal{F} -metric but the converse is not true in general, which validate the concept of \mathcal{F} -metric space is more general than the standard metric space concept.

[12] Let $U = \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho : U \times U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as follow:

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} (\mu - \nu)^2 & , (\mu, \nu) \in [0, 3] \times [0, 3], \\ |\mu - \nu| & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $f(t) = \ln(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\alpha = \ln(3)$. Then ρ is an \mathcal{F} -metric on U . But, ρ is not a metric on U . Since, $\rho(1, 3) = 4 \geq \rho(1, 2) + \rho(2, 3) = 2$.

[12] Let $U = \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho : U \times U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as follow:

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} e^{|\mu - \nu|}, & \text{if } \mu \neq \nu, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mu = \nu, \end{cases}$$

Then again ρ is an \mathcal{F} -metric on U . But it is not a metric on U .

Definition 1.2. [12] Let (U, ρ) be an \mathcal{F} -metric space and $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence in U .

A sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is called \mathcal{F} -convergent to $\mu \in U$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mu_n, \mu) = 0$.

A sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is \mathcal{F} -Cauchy, if and only if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mu_n, \mu_m) = 0$.

An \mathcal{F} -metric space (U, ρ) is said to be \mathcal{F} -complete, if every \mathcal{F} -Cauchy sequence in U is \mathcal{F} -convergent to some element in U .

In 2018, Olatinwo and Ishole [16] proved the following fixed point result in complete metric space.

Theorem 1.1. Let (U, ρ) be a complete metric space and $Q : U \rightarrow U$ be a self mapping such that there exist $\alpha, p, q, r, \omega, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\rho(Q\mu, Q\nu) \leq \alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu, Q\mu)][\rho(\nu, Q\nu)]^r [\rho(\nu, Q\mu)]^q}{\rho(\mu, \nu) + \omega\rho(\mu, Q\nu) + \eta\rho(\nu, Q\mu)} + \beta\rho(\mu, \nu)$$

for all $(\mu, \nu) \in U \times U$ and $\rho(\mu, \nu) + \omega\rho(\mu, Q\nu) + \eta\rho(\nu, Q\mu) > 0$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in U .

The purpose of this paper is to establish Olatinwo and Ishole rational type contraction in the context of \mathcal{F} -metric spaces and established some new fixed point result. We also support our main result by providing a non-trivial example.

2 Main Results

First we define Olatinwo and Ishole [16] contraction in the context of \mathcal{F} -metric space and then establish a new fixed point theorem.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that (U, ρ) is an \mathcal{F} -metric space. A self mapping Q on U is said to be Olatinwo and Ishole contraction, if there exist $\alpha, p, q, r, \omega, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\beta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\rho(Q\mu, Q\nu) \leq \alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu, Q\mu)][\rho(\nu, Q\nu)]^r [\rho(\nu, Q\mu)]^q}{\rho(\mu, \nu) + \omega\rho(\mu, Q\nu) + \eta\rho(\nu, Q\mu)} + \beta\rho(\mu, \nu)$$

for all $(\mu, \nu) \in U \times U$ and $\rho(\mu, \nu) + \omega\rho(\mu, Q\nu) + \eta\rho(\nu, Q\mu) > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that (U, ρ) is an \mathcal{F} -metric space, and let $Q : U \rightarrow U$ is an Olatinwo and Ishole contraction. If (U, ρ) is \mathcal{F} -complete, then Q has a unique fixed point $\mu^* \in X$. Moreover, for any $\mu_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset X$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_{n+1} = Q(\mu_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is \mathcal{F} -convergent to μ^* .

Proof. Let $\mu_0 \in U$ be an arbitrary element. Let the sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset U$ be defined by (2.1). As $Q : U \rightarrow U$ is Olatinwo and Ishole contraction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) &= \rho(Q(\mu_{n-1}), Q(\mu_n)) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu_{n-1}, Q\mu_{n-1})][\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu_n)]^r [\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu_{n-1})]^q}{\rho(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \omega\rho(\mu_{n-1}, Q\mu_n) + \eta\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu_{n-1})} + \beta\rho(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n)][\rho(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})]^r [\rho(\mu_n, \mu_n)]^q}{\rho(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \omega\rho(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_{n+1}) + \eta\rho(\mu_n, \mu_n)} + \beta\rho(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \\ &\leq \beta^n \rho(\mu_0, \mu_1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \rho(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) \leq \frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} \rho(\mu_0, \mu_1), \quad m > n$$

Since,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} \rho(\mu_0, \mu_1) = 0,$$

there exist some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$0 < \frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} \rho(\mu_0, \mu_1) < \delta, \quad n \geq N$$

Next, let $(f, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \times [0, \infty)$ be such that (ρ_3) is satisfied. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. By (\mathcal{F}_2) there exist $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad 0 < t < \delta \implies f(t) < f(\epsilon) - \alpha$$

Hence, by (2.2) and (\mathcal{F}_1) , we have

$$(2.3) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \rho(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\right) \leq f\left(\frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} \rho(\mu_0, \mu_1)\right) < f(\epsilon) - \alpha$$

for $m > n \geq N$. Using (ρ_3) and (2.3), we obtain $\rho(\mu_n, \mu_m) > 0$, $m > n \geq N$ implies

$$f(\rho(\mu_n, \mu_m)) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \rho(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\right) + \alpha < f(\epsilon).$$

Thus, we get $\rho(\mu_n, \mu_m) < \epsilon$, $m > n \geq N$. This show that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is \mathcal{F} - Cauchy. Since, the pair (U, ρ) is \mathcal{F} -complete, there exist $\mu^* \in U$ such that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is \mathcal{F} -convergent to μ^* , that is,

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\mu_n, \mu^*) = 0.$$

We shall show that μ^* is a fixed point of Q . We declare by contradiction that $\rho(Q(\mu^*), \mu^*) > 0$. By ρ_3 , we get

$$f(\rho(Q(\mu^*), \mu^*)) \leq f(\rho(Q(\mu^*), Q(\mu_n)) + \rho(Q(\mu_n), \mu^*)) + \alpha, \quad n \in N.$$

As $Q : U \rightarrow U$ is Olatinwo and Ishole contraction, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho(Q(\mu^*), \mu^*)) &\leq f\left(\alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu^*, Q\mu^*)][\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu_n)]^r [\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu^*)]^q}{\rho(\mu^*, \mu_n) + \omega\rho(\mu^*, Q\mu_n) + \eta\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu^*)}\right) \\ &+ f(\beta\rho(\mu^*, \mu_n) + \rho(\mu_{n+1}, \mu^*)) + \alpha \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in N$.

Now, using (\mathcal{F}_2) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu^*, Q\mu^*)][\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu_n)]^r [\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu^*)]^q}{\rho(\mu^*, \mu_n) + \omega\rho(\mu^*, Q\mu_n) + \eta\rho(\mu_n, Q\mu^*)} + \beta\rho(\mu^*, \mu_n) + \rho(\mu_{n+1}, \mu^*)\right) \\ + \alpha = -\infty \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we have $\rho(Q(\mu^*), \mu^*) = 0$, that is, $Q(\mu^*) = \mu^*$.

Therefore, μ^* is a fixed point of Q .

Now, we shall prove that μ^* is the unique fixed point of Q .

Let $\mu^*, \nu^* \in U \times U$ are two fixed points of Q with $\mu^* \neq \nu^*$ that is

$$Q(\mu^*) = \mu^*, \quad Q(\nu^*) = \nu^*.$$

As $Q : U \rightarrow U$ is Olatinwo and Ishole contraction, so

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) &= \rho(Q\mu^*, Q\nu^*) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu^*, Q\mu^*)][\rho(\nu^*, Q\nu^*)]^r [\rho(\nu^*, Q\mu^*)]^q}{\rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) + \omega\rho(\mu^*, Q\nu^*) + \eta\rho(\nu^*, Q\mu^*)} + \beta\rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[p + \rho(\mu^*, \mu^*)][\rho(\nu^*, \nu^*)]^r [\rho(\nu^*, \mu^*)]^q}{\rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) + \omega\rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) + \eta\rho(\nu^*, \mu^*)} + \beta\rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) \\ &< \beta\rho(\mu^*, \nu^*) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mu^* = \nu^*$.

Hence, μ^* is the unique fixed point of Q . □

Now, we shall give an example to validate our main result.

Let $U = [0, 1]$ with the metric $\rho : U \times U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as $\rho(\mu, \nu) = |\mu - \nu|$. Taking $f(t) = \ln t$ and $\alpha = 0$, then (U, ρ) is an \mathcal{F} -metric space which is also \mathcal{F} -complete.

Assume $Q\mu = \frac{\mu}{4}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$, $p=0, r=q=\omega=\eta=\alpha=1$, we have

$$\rho(Q\mu, Q\nu) = \left| \frac{\mu}{4} - \frac{\nu}{4} \right| \leq \frac{|\mu - \frac{\mu}{4}| \|\nu - \frac{\nu}{4}\| \|\nu - \frac{\mu}{4}\|}{|\mu - \nu| + |\mu - \frac{\nu}{4}| + |\nu - \frac{\mu}{4}|} + \frac{1}{4} |\mu - \nu|$$

$$\text{and since, } \frac{|\mu - \frac{\mu}{4}| \|\nu - \frac{\nu}{4}\| \|\nu - \frac{\mu}{4}\|}{|\mu - \nu| + |\mu - \frac{\nu}{4}| + |\nu - \frac{\mu}{4}|} \geq 0$$

Therefore, Q satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and Q has a unique fixed point $\mu = 0 \in [0, 1]$.

If $\alpha = 0$. Then Theorem 2.1 reduces to the Banach contraction principle in an \mathcal{F} -metric space.

Corollary 2.1. Assume (U, ρ) is an \mathcal{F} -complete \mathcal{F} -metric space and $Q : U \rightarrow U$ be a self mapping satisfying

$$\rho(Q\mu, Q\nu) \leq \beta\rho(\mu, \nu)$$

for all $\mu, \nu \in U$, where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then Q has a unique fixed point.

Acknowledgement: Authors are thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper. The first author would like to thank University Grant Commission under grant 1178/(CSIR-UGC NET JRF JUNE 2019) for financial assistance.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, E. Karapinar, D. O Regan and A. F. Roldan-Lopez-de Hierro, Fixed point theory in metric type spaces. Springer International Publishing Switzerland. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [2] K. Afassinou and O. K. Narain, Existence of solutions for boundary value problems via F-contraction mappings in metric spaces, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 25(2020), no. 2, 303–319.
- [3] I. Altun, G. Minak and H. Dag, Multivalued F-contractions on complete metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Analysis 16(2015), 659–666.
- [4] I. Altun, M. Olgun and G. Minak, On a new class of multivalued weakly Picard operators on complete metric spaces. Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 19(2015), no. 3, 659–672.
- [5] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space. Functional analysis, (Russian), Ul'yanovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst., Ul'yanovsk, 30(1989), 26-37.
- [6] A. Bataihah, T. Qawasmeh and M. Shatnawi, Discussion on b-metric spaces and related results in metric and G-metric spaces, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 27(2022), no. 2, 233–247.
- [7] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces. Publ. Math. Debrecen 57(2000), 31–37.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav, 1(1993), 5–11.
- [9] H. Faraji, D. Savic and S. Radenovic, Fixed point theorems for geraghty contraction type mappings in b-metric spaces and applications. Axioms 8(2019), no. 1, 1–12.
- [10] H. Faraji, K. Nourouzi and D. O Regan, A fixed point theorem in uniform spaces generated by a family of b-pseudometrics. Fixed Point Theory 20(2019), no. 1, 177–183.
- [11] M. Jleli and B. Samet, A generalized metric space and related fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015(1)(2015), no. 1, 1–14.

- [12] *M. Jleli and B. Samet*, On a new generalization of metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 20(2018), no. 3, 1–20.
- [13] *A. A. Mebawondu, H. A. Abass, M. O. Aibinu and O. K. Narain*, Existence of solution of differential equation via fixed point in complex valued b-metric spaces, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 26(2021), no. 2, 303–322.
- [14] *N. Mlaiki, K. Abodayeh, H. Aydi, T. Abdeljawad and M. Abuloha*, Rectangular metric-like type spaces and related fixed points. *J. Math.* 2018(2018), 1–7.
- [15] *M. Nazam, C. Park, A. Hussain, M. Arshad and J.R. Lee*, Fixed point theorems for F-contractions on closed ball in partial metric spaces. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 26(2019), no. 1, 759–769.
- [16] *M. O. Olatinwo and B. T. Ishola*, Some fixed point theorems involving rational type contractive operators in complete metric spaces, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications* 13(2018), 107–117.
- [17] *J. Paul and U.C. Gairola*, Fixed point for generalized rational type contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, *jnanabha*, 52(2022), no. 1, 162–166.
- [18] *S. Rawat, R. C. Dimri and A. Bartwal*, F-Bipolar metric spaces and fixed point theorems with applications. *J Math Comput SCI-JM.* 26(2022), no. 2, 184–195.
- [19] *P. Swapna, T. Phaneendra and M. N. Rajashekar*, Common fixed point for reciprocally continuous and weakly compatible maps in a G-metric space, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 27(2022), no. 3, 569-583.