

ON CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SALAGEAN DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

S. B. JOSHI, S. S. NALAVADE and S. S. JOSHI

Abstract

A subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients using Salagean derivative operator [7] is introduced. Further properties like coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, closure properties and radii of convexity has been obtained for the classes.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Analytic functions, Salagean derivative, Coefficient estimate, Growth and distortion theorem, Integral operator, Closure Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the subclass of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and let S represent the subclass of A containing univalent functions in U satisfying normalisation conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$.

A function $f(z) \in S$ is said to be starlike of order α where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ in U if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U.$$

Also, a function $f(z) \in S$ is called convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in U if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U.$$

We denote $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ to the classes of functions in S which are starlike and convex of order α respectively in U . It is well known that

$$K(\alpha) \subset S^*(\alpha) \subset S \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

The work of third author (S. S. Joshi) is supported by NBHM research project (2/48(7)/2016/NBHM/RP/R and D II/14977). Authors would like to thank referees for their valuable suggestions.

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting functions of the type

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0, \quad z \in U). \quad (1.2)$$

Ruscheweyh [6] studied and investigated the family of order α where the function

$$S_\alpha(z) = z(1-z)^{-2(1-\alpha)}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

is the well known extremal function for $S^*(\alpha)$. Let

$$C(\alpha, k) = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^k (i - 2\alpha)}{(k-1)!}, \quad k \geq 2$$

then

$$S_\alpha(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C(\alpha, k) z^k.$$

Clearly, $C(\alpha, k)$ is a decreasing function in α and that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C(\alpha, k) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \alpha < 1/2 \\ 1, & \alpha = 1/2 \\ 0, & \alpha > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

The Hadamard product of two functions (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

is denoted by $(f * g)(z)$, and is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For a function $f(z) \in A$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^1 f(z) &= Df(z) = zf'(z) \\ &\vdots \\ D^n f(z) &= D(D^{n-1} f(z)). \end{aligned}$$

The differential operator D^n was introduced by Salagean [7]. It can be easily seen that

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $S_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ if

$$\left| \frac{(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - 1}{2\gamma [(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - \mu] - [(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - 1]} \right| < \beta \tag{1.3}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \frac{1}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$ and $\phi_{n,\alpha}(z) = D^n(f * s_\alpha)(z)$.

Thus, we can deduce that

$$\phi_{n,\alpha}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n C(\alpha, k) a_k z^k, \quad a_k \geq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Further we define $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu) = S_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu) \cap T$.

We observe that, by specializing the parameters β, γ, μ, n and α the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ generalizes the subclasses studied by various authors viz. Aouf and Cho [1], Silverman [9], Aouf *et. al.* [2, 3] and others {[5],[7],[8]}.

Among ample of definitions studied by many researchers from fractional calculus, we restrict ourselves to the definitions given by Srivastava *et. al.* [10] of fractional integral operator.

DEFINITION 1.2. [9] For real numbers $\lambda > 0, \delta,$ and η the fractional integral operator $I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta}$ is defined by

$$I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) = \frac{z^{\lambda-\delta}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\lambda-1} {}_2F_1(\lambda + \delta, -\eta, 1 - \frac{t}{z}) f(t) dt$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin with order

$$f(z) = o(|z|^\varepsilon), \quad z \rightarrow 0$$

where $\varepsilon > \max(0, \delta - \eta) - 1$, and the multiplicity of $(z - t)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - t)$ to be real when $(z - t) > 0$.

We easily note that,

$$I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) = D_z^{-\lambda} f(z), \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

where $D_z^{-\lambda} f(z)$ is the fractional integral operator considered by Owa [4].

LEMMA 1.3. [9] If $\lambda > 0$, and $k > \delta - \eta - 1$, then

$$I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-\delta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\delta+1)\Gamma(k+\lambda+\eta+1)} z^{k-\delta}.$$

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATE

THEOREM 2.1. A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} a_k \leq 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu). \tag{2.1}$$

The result (2.1) is sharp.

PROOF. Assume that, the inequality (2.1) holds true and let $|z| = 1$ then from (1.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - 1| - \beta |2\gamma\{(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - \mu\} - \{(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - 1\}| \\ &= \left| -\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k z^{k-1} \right| - \left| 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu) - \beta(2\gamma - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} - 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus principle, $f(z) \in S_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$. □

THEOREM 2.2. A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} a_k \leq 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu). \tag{2.2}$$

The result (2.2) is sharp.

PROOF. In the view of Theorem (2.1), we need only to prove the necessity. Assume that $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ then we have

$$\left| \frac{(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - 1}{2\gamma\{(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - \mu\} - \{(\phi_{n,\alpha}(z))' - 1\}} \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k z^{k-1}}{2\gamma(1 - \mu) - (2\gamma - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k z^{k-1}} \right| < \beta.$$

Since $|Re(z)| \leq |z|$ for all z , we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k z^{k-1}}{2\gamma(1 - \mu) - (2\gamma - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} < \beta. \tag{2.3}$$

Now choosing the values of z on real axis such that $[\phi_{n,\alpha}(z)]'$ takes real values. Further on clearing the denominator in (2.3) and taking limit as $z \rightarrow 1^-$, through real values, we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k \leq 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu) - \beta(2\gamma - 1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) a_k$$

which proves the inequality given by (2.2). The result (2.2) is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)}{k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} z^k, \quad k \geq 2.$$

□

Now using Lemma (1.3), we state and prove following Theorem.

3. DISTORTION AND GROWTH THEOREM

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\lambda > 0, \delta < 2, \lambda + \eta > -2, \delta(\lambda + \eta) \leq 3\lambda$. If $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$, then*

$$\left| I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) \right| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta) |z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma\beta(2 - \delta + \eta)}{(2 - \delta)(2 + \lambda + \eta) 2^{n-1} \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} \right) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\left| I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta) |z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma\beta(2 - \delta + \eta)}{(2 - \delta)(2 + \lambda + \eta) 2^{n-1} \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

for $z \in U$. The result is sharp and is given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\gamma\beta}{2^{n-1}} z^2. \quad (3.3)$$

PROOF. Since the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$. Then, from Theorem (2.2) we have,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\gamma\beta}{2^n \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, from Lemma (1.3) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta) z^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} - \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma(k + 1) \Gamma(k - \delta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(k - \delta + 1) \Gamma(k + \lambda + \eta + 1)} a_k z^{k-\delta} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \delta) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} z^{-\delta} \left(z - \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1) k (2 - \delta + \eta)_{k-1}}{(2 - \delta)_{k-1} (2 + \lambda + \eta)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \delta) \Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)} z^{-\delta} \left(z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h(k) a_k z^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(k) = \frac{(1)_k(2 - \delta + \eta)_{k-1}}{(2 - \delta)_{k-1}(2 + \lambda + \eta)_{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Setting

$$H(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)} z^\delta I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h(k)a_k z^k \tag{3.5}$$

it can be easily verified that $h(k)$ is non-increasing for $k \geq 2$ and thus we have

$$0 \leq h(k) \leq h(2) = \frac{(2 - \delta + \eta)2}{(2 - \delta)(2 + \lambda + \eta)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Now, using (3.6) and (3.4) in (3.5) we have

$$|H(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{(2 - \delta + \eta)2\gamma\beta}{(2 - \delta)(2 + \lambda + \eta)2^n\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} |z|^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, from (3.5) we get

$$\frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \lambda + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)} |z|^\delta \left| I_{0,z}^{\lambda,\delta,\eta} f(z) \right| \geq |z| - \frac{(2 - \delta + \eta)2\gamma\beta}{(2 - \delta)(2 + \lambda + \eta)2^n\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} |z|^2.$$

This proves (3.1), and the remaining part (3.2) can be proved in similar manner, details are omitted. □

Now, replacing δ by $-\lambda$ in Theorem (3.1), we obtain following corollary:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ then*

$$\left| D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \right| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma\beta}{(2 + \lambda)2^{n-1}\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} \right)$$

and

$$\left| D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) \right| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma\beta}{(2 + \lambda)2^{n-1}\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} \right)$$

for $\lambda > 0, z \in U$. The result is sharp for the function

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{z^{1+\lambda}}{\Gamma(2 + \lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma\beta}{(2 + \lambda)2^{n-1}\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} \right)$$

4. INTEGRAL OPERATOR

THEOREM 4.1. *Let c be a real number such that $c > -1$. If $f(z) \in T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$, then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$F(z) = \frac{c + 1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \tag{4.1}$$

also belongs to $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$.

PROOF. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.2) belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ then using (4.1) we can write $F(z)$ as

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

where

$$b_k = \frac{c + 1}{c + k} a_k \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} b_k < \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} a_k \leq 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu).$$

Since $f(z) \in T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$. Hence from Theorem (2.2), $F(z) \in T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$. □

THEOREM 4.2. *Let the function*

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0)$$

belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$, and c be any real number such that $c > -1$. Then $F(z)$ given by (4.1) is univalent in $|z| < R^$, where*

$$R^* = \inf_* \left\{ \frac{(c + 1)C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} k^n}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)(c + k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (4.2)$$

The result (4.2) is sharp.

PROOF. From (4.1) we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c}(z^c F(z))'}{c + 1} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{c + k}{c + 1} a_k z^k.$$

To prove the required result it is sufficient to show that $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$ in $|z| < R^*$. Now,

$$|f'(z) - 1| = \left| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{c + k}{c + 1} a_k z^{k-1} \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{c + k}{c + 1} a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{c + k}{c + 1} a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1 \quad (4.3)$$

but Theorem (2.1) assures that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} a_k}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)} \leq 1.$$

Now, (4.3) will be satisfied if

$$k \frac{c+k}{c+1} a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} a_k}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)}, \quad (k \geq 2)$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{k^n (c+1) C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)(c+k)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (4.4)$$

The required result holds from (4.4). The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)(c+k)}{k^n C(\alpha, k)(c+1)\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}} z^k, \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (4.5)$$

□

5. RADIUS OF CONVEXITY

THEOREM 5.1. *If $f(z)$ given by (1.2) belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ then $f(z)$ is convex in $|z| < R^*$ where*

$$R^* = \inf_* \left\{ \frac{k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (5.1)$$

The result (5.1) is sharp.

PROOF. To establish the required result, we need to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < 1, \quad \text{in } |z| < R^*.$$

Now, using (1.2) we get

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k |z|^{k-1}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1. \quad (5.2)$$

In view of Theorem (2.2), (5.2) is satisfied if

$$k^2 |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)}, \quad (k \geq 2).$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{k^{n+1} C(\alpha, k) \{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}}{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Hence, $f(z)$ is convex in $|z| < R^*$. The result is sharp and is given by (5.1). □

6. CLOSURE THEOREMS

Now, we want to prove the following result corresponding to the closure of function in the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$.

THEOREM 6.1. *Let the function $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) defined by*

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{kj}z^k, \quad (a_{kj} \geq 0)$$

for $z \in U$ belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$, then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_kz^k$$

also belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$, where

$$b_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{kj}.$$

PROOF. Since $f_j(z) \in T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$, invoking Theorem (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1}C(\alpha, k)\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}a_{kj} \leq 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu), \quad (\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1}C(\alpha, k)\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}b_k &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1}C(\alpha, k)\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{kj} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1}C(\alpha, k)\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}a_{kj} \right) \\ &\leq 2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem (2.1), $h(z) \in T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$. □

Applying similar method used by Silverman [9], and taking help of Theorem (2.2), we can deduce the following. Proofs are obvious and hence omitted.

THEOREM 6.2. *The class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ is closed under linear combination.*

THEOREM 6.3. *Let $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{2\gamma\beta(1 - \mu)}{k^{n+1}C(\alpha, k)\{1 + \beta(2\gamma - 1)\}}z^k, \quad (k \geq 2) \tag{6.1}$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

Then, $f(z)$ belongs to the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_k f_k(z), \quad \Psi_k \geq 0, \quad k \geq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_k = 1.$$

COROLLARY 6.4. *The extreme points of the class $T_{n,\alpha}(\beta, \gamma, \mu)$ are the functions $f_k(z), k \geq 1$, given by Theorem (6.3).*

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf and N. E. Cho, *On a certain subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Turkish J. Math. **22** (1998), no. 1, 15-32.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen and A. Y. Lashin, *A class of univalent functions defined by using hadamard product*, Math. Vesnik **55** (2003), no. 3/4, 83-96.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen and A. Y. Lashin, *On certain families of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Indian J. Pure. App. Math. J. **31** (2000), 999-1015.
- [4] S. Owa, *On the distribution theorems I*, Kyungpook Math. J. **18** (1978), 53-59.
- [5] S. Owa and M. K. Aouf, *On subclasses of univalent function with negative coefficient II*, Pure App. Math. Sci. **29** (1989), 131-139.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh, *Linear operators between classes of prestarlike functions*, Comment. Math. Helve. **52** (1977), 497-509.
- [7] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finnish Sem. **1013** (1983), 362-372.
- [8] G. S. Salagean, *Integral properties of certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **2005** (2005), no. 1, 125-131.
- [9] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), no. 1, 109-116.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, M. Saigo and S. Owa, *A class of distortion theorems involving certain operators of fractional calculus*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **131** (1988), no. 2, 412-420.

S. B. Joshi, Department of Mathematics, Walchand College of Engineering, Sangli 416415, India.

e-mail: joshisb@hotmail.com

S. S. Nalavade, Department of Mathematics, Yashwantrao Chavan Institute of Science, Satara 415002, India.

e-mail: sangram.nalavade111@gmail.com

S. S. Joshi, Department of General Science, Sanjay Bhokare Group of Institutes, Miraj 416410, India.

e-mail: joshiss@sbgimiraj.org