

CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH RUSCHEWEYH-GOYAL DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

KIRTI PAL [✉] and A.L. PATHAK

Abstract

In our present investigation, we introduce two new subclasses of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions related to Ruscheweyh-Goyal derivative operator in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$. Also, we find the bounds for second and third Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient for the two new classes of bi-univalent functions. In addition we highlight a few unique applications of our findings.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C55..

Keywords and phrases: Univalent Functions; Bi-univalent Function; Coefficient Estimates; Holomorphic Functions; Ruscheweyh-Goyal Derivative Operator.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{A} denote the collection of all holomorphic functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \tag{1.1}$$

which are defined in the open unit disc

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}.$$

The class \mathcal{S} be a subclass of \mathbb{A} consisting a function of the type (1.1) which are normalized with the conditions

$$f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1.$$

and also univalent in \mathbb{D} .

By the Koebe one-quarter theorem [6], every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$
$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad (|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq 1/4),$$

The authors express their gratitude to Dr. Saurabh Porwal, Department of Mathematics, Ram Sahay Government Degree College, Bairi, Shivrajpur, Kanpur, for his valuable help.

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f(z)$ in the class \mathbb{A} is said to be Bi-univalent function in \mathbb{D} if both f and f^{-1} both are univalent in \mathbb{D} . Let Σ denote the class of all bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{D} given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1). In fact, studies of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions have been resurrected in recent years thanks to Srivastava *et al.*[12]; efforts along these lines were followed by Frasin and Aouf [8]. It is evident that the class Σ is not empty. Now some examples of functions for the class Σ are

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)}, \quad \text{and} \quad -\log(1-z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}$$

with the corresponding inverse functions

$$\frac{w}{(1+w)}, \quad \frac{(e^w - 1)}{e^w}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^{2w} - 1}{e^{2w} + 1} \quad \text{respectively.}$$

Now some examples of functions are not in class Σ such as

$$z - \frac{z^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z}{1-z^2}.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. (Ruscheweyh-Goyal Derivative Operator)

The Ruscheweyh-Goyal derivative operator for the holomorphic univalent functions $f \in \mathbb{A}$ on unit disc \mathbb{D} is defined as [2]

$$\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu}(f(z)) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu - \lambda + \xi + 2)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1)\Gamma(\xi + 2)} z \mathcal{J}_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \xi} (z^{\mu-1} f(z)) = z + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(n) z^n \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}^{\lambda, \mu}(n) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \mu)\Gamma(n + \xi + 1)\Gamma(\xi + 2 + \mu - \lambda)}{\Gamma(1 + \mu)\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\xi + 2)\Gamma(n + \xi + 1 + \mu - \lambda)},$$

where λ is order of Saigo fractional derivative for the function $f(z)$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{J}_{0, z}^{\lambda, \mu, \xi} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ z^{\lambda-\mu} \int_0^z (z-\varsigma)^{-\lambda} {}_2F_1(\mu - \lambda, -\xi; 1 - \lambda; 1 - \frac{\xi}{2}) f(\varsigma) d\varsigma \right\}, \\ \quad (1 > \lambda \geq 0), \\ \\ \frac{d^r}{dz^r} \mathcal{J}_{0, z}^{\lambda-r, \mu, \xi} f(z), \quad (r \leq \lambda < r + 1, r \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $f(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^n)$, ($z \rightarrow 0$, $\max\{0, \mu - \xi - 1\} - 1 < n$).

taking $\lambda = \mu$ in definition (1.4), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{0, z}^{\lambda, \lambda, \xi} f(z) = D_z^\lambda f(z), \quad (1 > \lambda \geq 0).$$

For $\lambda = \mu$, Ruscheweyh-Goyal Derivative Operator $\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu}$ reduces to the Ruscheweyh derivative operator D^λ .

We need the following Lemma (1.2) due to [6], which will be utilized to establish our main results.

LEMMA 1.2. [6] *If $h \in \mathbb{P}$, then $|c_s| \leq 2$ for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{P} is the family of all holomorphic functions h in unit disc \mathbb{D} for which*

$$Re [h(z)] > 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

where

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE CLASS $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$

Throughout this section, we suppose that $\varepsilon \geq 0, \rho \geq 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ defined as (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$, if it satisfied the following conditions:

$$\left| \arg \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} + \rho (\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))' + \varepsilon z (\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))'' - 1 \right] \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w)}{w} + \rho (\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w))' + \varepsilon w (\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w))'' - 1 \right] \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad (2.2)$$

where $f^{-1} = g$ is defined in (1.2) and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$.

REMARK 2.2. *The family $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ is a generalisation of known classes investigated previously. These are as follows:*

- (1) $\lambda = \mu$ the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{Q}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda; \alpha)$ which is considered by Bulut and Wanas [5].
- (2) $\lambda = \mu = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$ the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ which was considered by Frasin [7].
- (3) for $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\rho, \lambda)$ which was given by Frasin and Aouf [8].
- (4) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$, the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\alpha$ which was investigated by Srivastava et al.[12].

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ be given by (1.1), then*

$$|a_2| \leq 2\alpha |\sigma| \sqrt{\frac{(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{\alpha\sigma(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon) + (1 - \alpha)(1 + \mu)^2(2 + \xi)^2(1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2 |\sigma^2| (2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2}{(1 + \mu)^2(2 + \xi)^2(1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{4\alpha |\sigma| (2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)}.$$

PROOF. Considering the conditions (2.1) and (2.2), we conclude that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} + \rho \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) \right)' + \varepsilon z \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) \right)'' - 1 \right] = [p(z)]^\alpha \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w)}{w} + \rho \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w) \right)' + \varepsilon w \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w) \right)'' - 1 \right] = [q(w)]^\alpha. \quad (2.4)$$

Where $f^{-1} = g$ is defined as (1.2) and $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ have the following expansion:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + q_3 w^3 + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

equating the coefficients of (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \xi)}{\sigma(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)} (1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon) a_2 = \alpha p_1 \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)}{2\sigma(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)} (1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon) a_3 = \alpha p_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} p_2^2 \quad (2.8)$$

$$- \frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \xi)}{\sigma(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)} (1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon) a_2 = \alpha q_1 \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)}{2\sigma(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)} (1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon) (2a_2^2 - a_3) = \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} q_1^2 \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain that

$$p_1 = -q_1 \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \frac{(1 + \mu)^2 (2 + \mu)^2}{(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2} (1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2 a_2^2 = \alpha^2 (p_1^2 + q_1^2) \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.8) and (2.10) together with (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)}{\sigma(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)} (1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon) a_2^2 = \alpha(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} (p_1^2 + q_1^2) \\ & = \alpha(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{(\alpha - 1)(1 + \mu)^2 (2 + \xi)^2}{\alpha \sigma^2 (2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2} (1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2 a_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Further computations show that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2 (p_2 + q_2)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2 (3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{\left(\alpha \sigma (1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon) + (1 - \alpha)(1 + \mu)^2 (2 + \xi)^2 (1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2 (3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda) \right)} \quad (2.13)$$

Taking the absolute value of (2.13) and using Lemma 1.2 for the coefficients p_2 and q_2 , we have

$$|a_2| = 2\alpha |\sigma| \sqrt{\frac{(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{\alpha\sigma(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon) + (1 - \alpha)(1 + \mu)^2(2 + \xi)^2(1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}}$$

To find the bound on $|a_3|$ by subtracting (2.10) from (2.8), yields

$$\frac{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)}{\sigma(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)(a_3 - a_2^2) = \alpha(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(p_1^2 - q_1^2) \tag{2.14}$$

Now substitute the value of a_2^2 from (2.12) into (2.14) and using (2.11), we conclude that

$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha^2\sigma^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2}{(1 + \mu)^2(2 + \xi)^2(1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{\alpha\sigma(p_2 - q_2)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)} \tag{2.15}$$

Taking the absolute value of (2.15) and using Lemma (1.2) for the coefficient p_1, p_2, q_1 and q_2 , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2 |\sigma^2| (2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2}{(1 + \mu)^2(2 + \xi)^2(1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{4\alpha |\sigma| (2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)}.$$

□

REMARK 2.4. The results of theorem 2.3 is convert into following notable results, if we choose

- (1) $\lambda = \mu$, then we have the results obtained by Bulut and Wanas [5] Theorem 1.
- (2) $\lambda = \mu = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$, then we have results introduced by Frasin [7] Theorem 2.2.
- (3) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, then we have results established by Aouf and Frasin [8] Theorem 2.2.
- (4) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$, then we have results introduced by Srivastava et al. [12] Theorem 1.

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE CLASS $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$

We suppose that $\varepsilon \geq 0, \rho \geq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\sigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

DEFINITION 3.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ defined in (1.1) is called in the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$, if it satisfied the following conditions:

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} + \rho (\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))' + \varepsilon z (\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))'' - 1 \right] \right\} > \beta \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w)}{w} + \rho \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w) \right)' + \varepsilon w \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w) \right)'' - 1 \right] \right\} > \beta \quad (3.2)$$

where $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f^{-1} = g$ is defined in (1.2).

REMARK 3.2. The family $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$ is a generalization of known families investigated previously. These are as follows:

- (1) $\lambda = \mu$ the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{Q}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda; \beta)$ which is considered by Bulut and Wanas [5].
- (2) $\lambda = \mu = 0$ with $\rho = \sigma = 1$ the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ which was considered by Frasin [7].
- (3) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^*(\rho, \lambda)$ which was introduced by Frasin and Aouf [8].
- (4) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$, the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$ converts to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha}$ which was obtained by Srivastava et al. [12].
- (5) $\lambda = \mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$ the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \beta)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon, \rho; \beta)$ this was given by Bulut [3].

THEOREM 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ be given by (1.1), then

$$|a_2| \leq \begin{cases} 2 \sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)|\sigma|(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)}}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 - \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)^2}{|\sigma|(2+\mu)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)} \\ \frac{2|\sigma|(1-\beta)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}{(1+\mu)(2+\xi)(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)}, & 1 - \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)^2}{|\sigma|(2+\mu)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4|\sigma|(1-\beta)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)}.$$

PROOF. From the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) we are able to conclude that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} + \rho \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) \right)' + \varepsilon z \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) \right)'' - 1 \right] = \beta + (1 - \beta)p(z) \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[(1 - \rho) \frac{\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w)}{w} + \rho \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w) \right)' + \varepsilon w \left(\mathbb{J}^{\lambda, \mu} g(w) \right)'' - 1 \right] = \beta + (1 - \beta)q(w) \quad (3.4)$$

Where $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ and defined as (2.5) and (2.6) respectively.

Now analysing the equivalent coefficients in (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$\frac{(1+\mu)(2+\xi)}{\sigma(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)a_2 = (1-\beta)p_1 \quad (3.5)$$

$$\frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)}{2\sigma(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)a_3 = (1-\beta)p_2 \quad (3.6)$$

$$-\frac{(1+\mu)(2+\xi)}{\sigma(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)a_2 = (1-\beta)q_1 \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)}{2\sigma(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)(2a_2^2-a_3) = (1-\beta)q_2 \tag{3.8}$$

In view of (3.5) and (3.7), we conclude that

$$p_1 = -q_1 \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \frac{(1+\mu)^2(2+\xi)^2}{(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)^2}(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)^2 a_2^2 = (1-\beta)^2(p_1^2+q_1^2) \tag{3.10}$$

Adding (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$\frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)}{\sigma(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)a_2^2 = (1-\beta)(p_2+q_2) \tag{3.11}$$

by (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\sigma^2(p_1^2+q_1^2)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)^2(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\mu)^2(2+\xi)^2(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)^2}$$

and

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\sigma(p_2+q_2)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1-\beta)}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)}$$

Using Lemma (1.2) to the coefficients p_2 and q_2 respectively, we get

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2|\sigma|(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1-\beta)}{(1+\mu)(2+\xi)(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)}$$

and

$$|a_2| \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{|\sigma|(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1-\beta)}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)}}$$

Subtracting (3.8) from (3.6), we get the bound on $|a_3|$

$$\frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)}{\sigma(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)}(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)(a_3-a_2^2) = (1-\beta)(p_2-q_2)$$

or similarly

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{\sigma(p_2-q_2)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1-\beta)}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)} \tag{3.12}$$

Now substituting the value of a_2^2 from (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{\sigma^2(p_1^2+q_1^2)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)^2(1-\beta)^2}{2(1+\mu)^2(2+\xi)^2(1+\rho+2\varepsilon)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\sigma(p_2-q_2)(2+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(3+\xi+\mu-\lambda)(1-\beta)}{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)(2+\xi)(3+\xi)(1+2\rho+6\varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{2\sigma p_2(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(1 - \beta)}{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)}$$

Again, using Lemma (1.2) to the coefficients p_1 , q_1 , p_2 and q_2 respectively, it follows that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4|\sigma|^2(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)^2(1 - \beta)^2}{(1 + \mu)^2(2 + \xi)^2(1 + \rho + 2\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{4|\sigma|(1 - \beta)(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1 - \beta)|\sigma|(2 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)(3 + \xi + \mu - \lambda)}{(1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)(2 + \xi)(3 + \xi)(1 + 2\rho + 6\varepsilon)}$$

this completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.4. *The results of theorem 3.3 is convert into following notable results, if we choose*

(1) $\lambda = \mu$ then we have the results of family $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma^*(\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho, \lambda, \mu; \alpha)$ obtained by Bulut and Wanas [5].

(2) $\lambda = \mu = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$ then we have the results obtained by Frasin [7] Theorem 3.2.

(3) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, then we have the results obtained by Frasin and Aouf [8] Theorem 3.2.

(4) $\lambda = \mu = \varepsilon = 0$ and $\rho = \sigma = 1$, then we have the results obtained by Srivastava et al.[12] Theorem 2.

(5) $\lambda = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$ then we have the improvements results obtained by Bulut[3] Theorem 3.4

Author contributions:

Conceptualisation: Kirti Pal, A.L. Pathak; *Writing-Original Draft:* Kirti Pal, A.L. Pathak

Conflicts of Interest: The authors maintain that they have no competing interest.

References

- [1] E. A. Adegani, S. Bulut and A. A. Zireh, *Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **55**(2) (2018), 405–413.
- [2] R. Agarwal and G.S. Paliwal, *Ruscheweyh-Goyal derivative of fractional order, its properties pertaining to pre-starlike type functions and applications*, Appl. Appl. Math., **15**(3) (2020), 103–121.
- [3] S. Bulut, *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, **30**(6) (2016), 1567–1575.
- [4] S. Bulut, *A new general subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions*, Turkish J. Math., **43**(3) (2019), 1330–1338.
- [5] S. Bulut and A.K. Wanas, *Coefficient estimates for families of bi-univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative operator*, Math. Morav., **25**(1) (2021), 71–80.
- [6] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, GTM, 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

- [7] B.A. Frasin, *Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **43**(3) (2014), 383–389.
- [8] B.A. Frasin and M.K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**(9) (2011), 1569–1573.
- [9] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967) ,63–68.
- [10] A.L. Pathak and K.K. Dixit, *Coefficient Estimates For a Certain Subclass of Bi-Univalent Functions*, Ganita, **72**(2) (2022), 11–18.
- [11] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, *Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Afr. Mat., **28** (5-6) (2017), 693–706.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and P.Gochhayat , *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23**(10) (2010), 1188–1192.

Kirti Pal, Department of Mathematics, Brahmanand P.G. College, The Mall, Kanpur 208011 India

e-mail: kirtipal.hk@gmail.com

A.L. Pathak, Department of Mathematics, Brahmanand P.G. College, The Mall, Kanpur 208011 India

e-mail: alpathak.bnd@gmail.com